* Summarize KGO/DID publishing plan
  + EvoApps Original Article submitted as part of Coastwide Meeting special issue
* Discuss Waples/Ford comments
* Build consensus around outline/framework of manuscript (see abstract)
  + Avoid framing as recovery from domestication *per se*, stick to phenomenological framework
  + Restrict discussion to reintroduction, or expand discussion to supplementation more generally?
  + Role of NOR immigrants
  + Include mate-pair results
    - more WxW (femaleXmale) and HxW mate pairs than expected by chance, fewer HxH, no consistent pattern for WxH
* Details (if there is time)
  + It would be good to calculate fitness differences as relative reproductive success (RRS) to facilitate comparison to the literature, but who is the baseline for RRS, F1s or NOR immigrants?
  + Settle terminology in advance:
    - Readaptation *vs* renaturalization vs ???
    - Adult-to-adult RS *vs* TLF
    - hatchery outplant *vs* captive reared
    - F1 *vs* F0 *vs* NOR descendant
    - NOR immigrant *vs* NOR of unknown parentage/source
  + Model release day?
    - Effect of release day on TLF was not significant in this model, but it was significant in the model from the main manuscript. Given the difference in release day for descendants and immigrants, should we keep the parameter in the model? Interaction with year (2012 and 2015)? Share the model fit with release day included as a supplement?
  + Type II error: share quick analysis.

Notes from meeting:

Title:

A single generation in the wild increases fitness among descendants of hatchery Chinook salmon

To do:

* Demo differences between offspring of NOR, HOR and F1s
* Revise abstract for language and title, keep consistent with TAFS paper where possible, but stick with our decisions
* Email Ryan and Cristín to ask for about coauthorship, need to review and approve abstract by Friday
* Outline discussion. The goals are two fold (1) make sure there are guideposts up limiting areas of discussion and conclusions so drafting doesn’t go off the rails (2) make sure all the caveats and boundaries for interpretation are clearly stated

Language:

* HORs, their wild born offspring (F1s), and NOR (explain that that are immigrants in the text)
* Do not call F1s NORs, as this can be confusing, instead they are wild born offspring of hatchery outplants
* Avoid, naturalization, re-adaptation, it is a transgenerational increase in fitness among the wild born offspring of hatchery origin salmon.

General takeaways:

* We should not get too hung up on NOR immigrants, they absolutely should be included in the manuscript, and our central findings should not rely on them being interpreted one way or another
  + In the discussion need to hit on a few things about NOR immigrants
    - Arrive later
    - Stray rates are low / are part of the broader McKenzie river population
    - Similar in size to NOR carcasses below the dam

Other notes:

* Rely heavily on Narum review for intro
* Cite to USACE report for pedigree methods